

MEETING MINUTES		
Location	Skype/Teleconference	
Attendees	Mark Kucza, DOC Senior Administrator – Statewide Project Lead	
	Mike Schindler, Contract DOC Facilitator	
	Dan King, DOC Special Projects Manager	
	Nanette Graham, DOC Capital Planning & Development Director	
	Gar Rodside, DOC Senior Facilities Planner	
	Whitney Hays, DOC Executive Secretary	
	6 Local Advisory Committee (LAC) members:	
	Cornell Harris	
	Jeremy Hunt	
	Larry Quintana	
	David Thomson	
	Rachel Turner	
	Connie Ladenburg	
	4 community members:	
	Jeff Cox	
	Daniel Davis	
	Bill Fosbre	
	Jeannie Darneille, Senator	

INTRODUCTIONS & ORIENTATION			
Mike Schindler			
Slides	Agenda		
Discussion	<ul> <li>Mike Schindler opened the meeting. Introductions were made of all attendees.</li> <li>Due to the COVID-19 situation and social distancing restrictions, DOC determined to hold this LAC meeting via Skype/teleconference. The Skype IM option can be used for comments/input/questions throughout the meeting.</li> <li>6 community members have committed to being a part of the Pierce County Local Advisory Committee (LAC). If anyone else is interested in being a part of the LAC, DOC needs commitment by the close of this meeting.</li> </ul>		
PROJECT STAT	PROJECT STATUS UPDATE		
Mike Schindle	Mike Schindler		
Slides	Project Update		
	Project Update: Next Steps		
Discussion	<ul> <li>Pierce County received 2 submissions through the RFP process that closed on 2/13/2020. These submissions are now being evaluated by DOC in a high level site screening to ensure they meet the minimum requirements.</li> </ul>		

	• 1 of the proposed properties is not a good option because it would take too long to build out and therefore, would not fit the timeline.
	<ul> <li>The other property is a viable proposal and DOC is moving forward to determine logistics.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The property is at 5210 South State Street in Tacoma. It is currently run down and often inhabited by those experiencing homelessness. It should not be viewed by the LAC at this time.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>All submitted property addresses will be disclosed to the LAC once DOC reviews each submission and determines its suitability. DOC will provide summary of each property and the justification for deciding whether to move forward with a property. Transparency of the vetting process is a priority of DOC.</li> <li>The LAC will review potential property sites based upon the agreed-upon considerations and will provide recommendation to DOC.</li> </ul>
Questions/ Concerns	<ul> <li>What parameters were asked for in the RFP? Check full RFP at DOC website: doc.wa.gov</li> <li>How can more properties be submitted? Send to Pierce County email inbox: <u>docwrexpandpc@doc1.wa.gov</u>, however DOC must</li> </ul>
	review the proposals submitted via the RFP before looking at any alternate <mark>prop</mark> erties.
	IPDATE AND COMMUNITY SITING CONSIDERATIONS
	DOC Facilities Senior Planner
Slides	Location Update Community Siting Considerations
Discussion	<ul> <li>Community Siting Considerations</li> <li>Community Siting Considerations include: Proximity to: transportation, education, treatment and other resources, employment opportunities, and support systems. (See also: Attachment B – Community Siting Considerations)</li> </ul>
ASSESSING EX	
	DOC Facilities Senior Planner
Slides	Assessing Existing Facilities
Discussion	<ul> <li>DOC is also looking at the expansion capacity of 5 existing work release facilities to maximize existing resources:         <ul> <li>Helen B. Ratcliff – Seattle (King)</li> <li>Peninsula – (Kitsap)</li> <li>Progress House Tacoma (Pierce)</li> <li>Reynolds – Seattle (King)</li> <li>Longview (Lewis)</li> </ul> </li> <li>A site assessment includes (for new properties and existing locations):         <ul> <li>Site Visit</li> <li>Code review</li> <li>Life safety survey</li> <li>Use/occupancy permit review/revisions</li> <li>Facility resources/demand</li> <li>Meet with authorities having jurisdiction</li> <li>American Correctional Association (ACA) standards</li> <li>Design and construction cost review</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Questions/ Concerns	<ul> <li>Is it a priority of DOC to use an existing facility?</li> <li>DOC is against a timeline to have 50 new Work Release beds added by June 2020 so this deadline may be best met by increasing the number of beds within an existing facility.</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>However, even with the existing increase of capacity, DOC will still be looking for options to expand by an additional 150 beds.</li> <li>What is the timeline of maximizing the existing space? It is difficult to determine, given the current COVID-19 situation. Possibly 1 – 2 months.</li> <li>Will DOC pay for the upgrades to the building or is it the property owner's responsibility? In a leasing situation, it almost always happens that the property owner will fund the renovation.</li> <li>What is the staffing model for a 50 bed facility? DOC does not have a standard staffing model. Staffing varies at each facility depending upon the building layout and capacity. More information will be provided regarding the</li> </ul>
	staffing at existing work releases.
	ORY COMMITTEE ACTIVITY
Mike Schindle	
Slides	Local Advisory Committee Role and Activities
	Identifying Review Considerations
	Communication Plan
Discussion	The LAC role includes:
	Act in the best interest of public
	Develop an understanding of the community's concerns and sensitivities
	<ul> <li>Active engagement and regular attendance throughout siting process</li> </ul>
	Have an accurate understanding of DOC's role, charge, and limitations
	<ul> <li>Advocate for DOC program and site within your community</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Support the work release program's mission and activities</li> </ul>
	Drenerty Siting Considerations
	Property Siting Considerations
	Property siting considerations brought forward:     The property is in a residential area and there could be a perceived
	<ul> <li>The proposed property is in a residential area and there could be a perceived rick from the community.</li> </ul>
	risk from the community
	<ul> <li>Secure entry/exit</li> <li>Recreational areas</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Proximity to school/daycare or anything child related</li> <li>Neighborhood impact</li> </ul>
	Neighborhood impact     Transportation
	Transportation     Class to employers where will provide jobs that match the skills set of Work
	<ul> <li>Close to employers whose will provide jobs that match the skills set of Work</li> <li>Belease participants</li> </ul>
	Release participants
	Programming space
	Educational services
	Communication Plan
	Connie Ladenburg volunteered to be the lead on LAC Communication Plan. Jeremy Hunt to assist.
	Communication plan considerations:
	<ul> <li>Communication plan considerations:</li> <li>The public should be informed that Work Release participants are very</li> </ul>
	The public should be informed that Work Release participants are very
	· ·

	<ul> <li>Messaging should include as many representatives as possible, as we don't want to surprise anyone with this expansion</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Community representatives opposed to the expansion should be contacted in a coordinated effort</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Having a DOC presence can actually make a neighborhood safer</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The perception that Pierce County is already being saturated with many social services type facilities</li> </ul>
	Examples of recommended communication methods:
	Face to face
	Telephonic
	<ul> <li>Presentation to Law and Justice Council (DOC presented in January, but would be good to provide update)</li> </ul>
	Who needs to be communicated to:
	Chris Beale
	City Manager
	Pierce County Public Safety Committee
	Tacoma City Council
	Tacoma Police (Donald Ramsfeld, Chief of Police)
	Tacoma Liaison Officers
	Deputy Mayor Keith Blocker     City of Tacarna Linda Stayyort, Director of Naishbarbanda and Community
	<ul> <li>City of Tacoma Linda Stewart, Director of Neighborhoods and Community Services</li> </ul>
	Pierce County Law and Justice Council
	<ul> <li>Representatives from programs that Work Release participants will be in</li> </ul>
	contact with through services
	South Tacoma Neighborhood Council     Workforce Development
	Workforce Development     Togered Country Chember
	Tacoma Pierce County Chamber     Stove Kirky, Malania Margan, Stove Converse
Questions/	Steve Kirby, Melanie Morgan, Steve Conway
Concerns	• Request for data: Where are the jobs that Work Release participants are qualified for?
SITE REVIEW	AND RECOMMENDATIONS PROCESS
Mike Schindle Slides	r Site Review/Recommendation Process
Discussion	Review sites brought forward and provide recommendations
	<ul> <li>Based on the agreed-to LAC considerations</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Each site reviewed and discussed</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Possible site visits (coordinated through DOC)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Reach effective agreement on recommendations (document supportive</li> </ul>
	materials and reasoning)
	Communicate recommendations to DOC leadership
	DOC leadership reviews recommendations and collaborates on next steps
	(e.g. Communication Strategies, etc)
Questions/	(e.g. Communication Strategies, etc)

GENERAL DISCUSSION & TASKS FOR FOLLOW UP			
Mike Schindle	Mike Schindler		
Slides	Communication Plan		
	Questions/Wrap Up		
Discussion	Work Release Expansion Website		
	https://doc.wa.gov/about/business/capital-planning/capacity-work-release.htm		
	Pierce County email box:		
	docwrexpandpc@doc1.wa.gov		
	Next meeting: (location/format subject to change, in view of COVID-19)		
	Wednesday, April 22, 2020		
	4:00 - 6:00pm		
	Tacoma Community College, Bldg 9, Room 101		
	6501 South 19th. Street Tacoma, WA 98466		