



MEETING MINUTES	
<i>Location</i>	Skype/Teleconference
<i>Attendees</i>	Mark Kucza, DOC Senior Administrator Mike Schindler, Contract DOC Facilitator Dan King, DOC Special Projects Manager Nanette Graham, DOC Capital Planning & Development Director Gar Rodside, DOC Senior Facilities Planner Brandy Jacobs, DOC Executive Secretary Eric Goodman Nicole Gorle Jim Bloss Jim Lawless Jon Frodema Kelly Miller Mike Rosen Scott King Sebastian Moraga Trisha Newport Andrea Holmes Mike Held Jeff Goldman Rachael Noll Wesley Deppa Patricia Seibert-Love 6 Community members that didn't identify themselves

Introductions & Opening	
<i>Mike Schindler</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	<i>Welcome</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	Mike Schindler opened the meeting and welcomed back past and new participants. Introductions were made.
Outreach Update	
<i>Mike Schindler & Mark Kucza</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	<i>Project Review: Activity and progress</i> <i>Distribution from Work Release Data</i>

<i>Discussion</i>	Mark shared an overview of the Snohomish County Government officials he has had contact with, particularly the City of Marysville and the City of Mountlake Terrace.
<i>Questions/Concerns</i>	
Project Timeline and Topics Recap <i>Mike Schindler & Mark Kucza</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	
<i>Discussion</i>	Timelines were reviewed and discussed.
<i>Questions/Concerns</i>	
Joint Communications Plan Mike Rosen & Mike Schindler	
<i>Slides</i>	<i>Communication Plan Considerations</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	Mike Rosen provided an overview of the COMMS plan, stating that the plan is a working document and that he has no pride in ownership. Stressed the need for input, feedback and involvement. Please send feedback to him or the Snohomish County Expansion email box.
<i>Questions/Concerns</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jim Bloss asked what is the process for monitoring success measures and expressed an interest in seeing the milestones or timetable as a GANT chart. Mark indicated that the project is under traditional PM governance and the communication plan will be included as a part of the PM plan.
Siting Considerations Review, Locations Update & Discussion, Conditional Use Permit Process for Essential Public Facilities <i>Mike Schindler, Gar Rodside, Nanette Graham</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	
<i>Discussion</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mike recapped siting considerations • Gar provided an overview of the Marysville property and Mountlake Terrace properties. Expressed that the MLT property would need an addition to meet the square footage needs – both properties would also likely require conditional use permitting.
<i>Questions/Concerns</i>	<p>Several questions emerged:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nicole asked if the LAC could receive a checklist on how each of the properties measured up against the Siting Considerations. Mark stated that each site would need to be reviewed on its own merits and that there are some considerations that would not necessarily lend a site to being “in” or “out” of consideration. • Chief Lawless (Edmonds) shared that he is familiar with the MLT property, as the only access to the property is through Edmonds. Described the location as one that offers potential opportunities for recidivism (a crime area) – but also did describe the property as meeting many of the siting considerations. Chief Lawless noted that access to the MLT property is actually gained through Edmonds. He also stated he was concerned that the location would be too close to HWY 99 with concerns about residents being tempted related to drugs and prostitution. • Melody weighed in and did say she believed many areas could potentially be problem areas but felt MLT could be an option.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jim Bloss asked what work opportunities were available in Marysville / felt MLT may be stronger in that area. • Nicole asked if we should plan to address a potential perception that previous convicts would be taking jobs from those who were laid off due to COVID – prompting discussion around the economic impact of job layoffs and how some might view that WR individuals should be at the bottom of the consideration pool for employment. Mark indicated that the role of WR is broader than simply work and that vocational educational training programs have become a focus in addition to living wage jobs with a career track. • Pat (from the State Board) shared that they are preparing those who served their time for viable, living wage jobs and not low-income jobs, so Nicole’s suggestion to have a plan that will address perception of “pecking order” is real. • Eric was asked how public transportation compares between the two locations. He indicated that both have access to main lines and that the MLT location additionally affords commuter service.
GENERAL DISCUSSION & TASKS FOR FOLLOW UP <i>Mike Schindler</i>	
Slides	<i>Questions/Wrap Up</i>
Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The LACs were advised to review the COMMS plan and provide feedback and input by early next week. • They were also advised to consider the two properties on the table and provide input. Mark did say the state will work on creating a virtual tour. Gar said he would also work on a plan to set up walk-throughs that would meet the state’s COVID requirements <p>DOC encouraged current attendees to invite any community member who they feel should be a part of this committee.</p> <p><i>Work Release Expansion Website</i> https://doc.wa.gov/about/business/capital-planning/capacity-work-release.htm</p> <p><i>King County Expansion email inbox:</i> docwexpandsc@doc1.wa.gov</p> <p><i>Next meeting:</i> Tuesday, June 9, 2020 4:00 pm – 6:00 pm, via Skype or Lynnwood Fire Station 3922 156th Street SW, Lynnwood, WA 98087</p>
<i>Questions/Inquiries?</i>	If we are still under stay at home order, we will have this meeting via Skype; and members will be notified.